arXiv:1401.1930v1 [math.AG] 9 Jan 2014

TRUNCATED AFFINE GRASSMANNIANS AND TRUNCATED AFFINE SPRINGER FIBERS FOR GL_3

ZONGBIN CHEN

Abstract. We state a conjecture (see §3.4) on how to construct affine pavings for cohomologically pure projective algebraic varieties, which admit an action of torus such that the fixed points and 1-dimensional orbits are finite. Experiments on the affine grassmannian for GL_3 under the guideline of this conjecture, together with the work of Berenstein-Fomin-Zelevinsky and Kamnitzer, have led to the conjecture that the truncated affine grassmannians for GL_{r+1} admit affine pavings.

For the group GL_3, we construct affine pavings for the truncated affine grassmannians, and we use it to study the affine Springer fibers. In particular, we find a family of truncated affine Springer fibers which are cohomologically pure in the sense of Deligne.

1. Introduction

Let $k = \mathbf{F}_q$, we fix an algebraic closure $\bar{k}$ of k. Let $F = k((\epsilon))$ be the field of Laurent series with coefficients in k, $\mathcal{O} = k[[\epsilon]]$ the ring of integers of F, $\mathfrak{p} = \epsilon k[[\epsilon]]$ the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}$. Let $\mathrm{val} : F^\times \to \mathbf{Z}$ be the discrete valuation on $F^\times$ normalized by $\mathrm{val}(\epsilon) = 1$.

Let $G = \mathrm{GL}_{r+1}$, let T be the maximal torus of G of the diagonal matrices. Their Lie algebras will be denoted by the corresponding Gothic letters. Let $K = G(\mathcal{O})$ be the standard maximal compact open subgroup of $G(F)$. The affine grassmannian $\mathscr{X} = G(F)/K$ is the ind-k-scheme such that

$$\mathscr{X}(\mathbf{F}_{q^n}) = G(\mathbf{F}_{q^n}((\epsilon)))/G(\mathbf{F}_{q^n}[[\epsilon]]), \quad \forall\, n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Let B_0 be the Borel subgroup of G of the upper triangular matrices, let $X_*^+(T)$ be the semigroup of dominant cocharaters of T with respect to B_0. For any $\lambda \in X_*^+(T)$ which is at the same time a dominant character of $G^\vee = \mathrm{GL}_d$, the *geometric Satake isomorphism* of Ginzburg [G], and Mirkovic-Vilonen [MV] states that the intersection cohomology of the affine Schubert variety $\mathrm{Sch}(\lambda) = \overline{K\epsilon^\lambda K/K}$ gives a geometric realization of the irreducible representation L_λ of $G^\vee$ of highest weight λ. For any $\mu \in X_*(T)$, $\mu \prec \lambda$, Mirkovic and Vilonen have constructed a family of closed algebraic sub varieties which give a basis of the weight μ eigenspace $IH^*(\mathrm{Sch}(\lambda))_\mu$: They are closures of irreducible components of the intersection

$$\mathrm{Sch}(\lambda) \cap U_0^-(F)\epsilon^{-\mu}K/K,$$

where U_0^- is the unipotent radical of the Borel subgroup B_0^- of G opposite to B_0. These algebraic sub varieties are called *Mirkovic-Vilonen cycles*. It is known that they have the

same dimension $\rho(\lambda+\mu)$, where ρ is the half sum of the positive roots of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to B_0.

We will identify $X_*(T)\otimes\mathbf{R}$ with $\mathfrak{t}$. Let $\mathcal{P}(T)$ be the set of Borel subgroups of G containing T. For any $x\in\mathscr{X}$, $B\in\mathcal{P}(T)$, let $f_B(x)\in X_*(T)\subset\mathfrak{t}$ be the unique cocharacter ν such that $x\in U_B(F)\epsilon^\nu K/K$, where U_B is the unipotent radical of B. Let $\mathrm{Ec}(x)$ be the convex envelope of $(f_B(x))_{B\in\mathcal{P}(T)}$. Given a generic point x in a Mirkovic-Vilonen cycle, the polytope $\mathrm{Ec}(x)$ is independent of the choice of x, it is called *Mirkovic-Vilonen polytope*. It is known that the Mirkovic-Vilonen cycles and Mirkovic-Vilonen polytopes determine each other.

Our interest in the Mirkovic-Vilonen cycles comes from the problem of paving the affine Springer fibers. Recall that for a regular element $\gamma\in\mathfrak{t}(\mathcal{O})$, the affine Springer fiber at γ,

$$\mathscr{X}_\gamma=\{g\in G(F)/K\mid \mathrm{Ad}(g^{-1})\gamma\in\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})\},$$

has been introduced by Kazhdan and Lusztig [KL]. It has been used by Goresky, Kottwitz and Macpherson [GKM1] to prove the fundamental lemma of Langlands-Shelstad in the unramified case, under the following hypothesis:

Conjecture 1.1 (Goresky-Kottwitz-Macpherson)**.** The cohomology of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ is pure in the sense of Deligne, i.e. the eigenvalues of the action of frobenius Fr_q on $H^i(\mathscr{X}_{\gamma,\bar{k}},\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_l)$ have an absolute value of $q^{i/2}$ for any embedding $\overline{\mathbf{Q}}_l\to\mathbf{C}$.

The conjecture has been verified in several particular cases. In [GKM2], [Lu], [C1], the authors have found affine pavings of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$.

The affine Springer fibers have a large symmetry group. The free abelian group Λ generated by $\chi(\epsilon)$, $\chi\in X_*(T)$ acts simply and transitively on the set of irreducible components of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$. In our paper [C2], we construct a fundamental domain F_γ of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ with respect to this action, which is a projective algebraic variety of finite type. And we are able to reduce the above conjecture to:

Conjecture 1.2. The cohomology of F_γ is pure in the sense of Deligne.

Our method to prove this conjecture is again to construct affine pavings of F_γ. In §3.4, we state two conjectures on how to construct affine pavings for cohomologically pure projective algebraic varieties, which admit an action of torus such that the fixed points and 1-dimensional orbits are finite. At the beginning of §4, we explained how to adapt this method to F_γ.

To carry out the program, it is important to study the polytope $\mathrm{Ec}(x)$ for any $x\in\mathscr{X}$ and the truncated affine grassmannian

$$\mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x)):=\{y\in\mathscr{X}\mid \mathrm{Ec}(y)\subset\mathrm{Ec}(x),\ \nu_G(y)=\nu_G(x)\},$$

where for $x=gK$, we set $\nu_G(x)=\mathrm{val}(\det(g))$. The requirement $\nu_G(y)=\nu_G(x)$ means that x and y should lie on the same connected component of $\mathscr{X}$.

More precisely, for each $B\in\mathcal{P}(T)$, we need to understand the contracting cell

$$C_B(\mathrm{Ec}(x)):=\{y\in\mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x))\mid f_B(y)=f_B(x)\}.$$

Inspired by the results of Berenstein, Fomin and Zelevinsky [BFZ] on Lusztig parametrization of totally positive matrices in U_0, and the results of Kamnitzer [K1] on the Mirkovic-Vilonen cycles, we are led to the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.3. For any $x \in \mathscr{X}$, there exists an element w in the Weyl group W of G such that $w \cdot \mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x))$ is a Mirkovic-Vilonen cycle. Further more, the truncated affine grassmannian $\mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x))$ admits an affine paving, and so is cohomologically pure.

In this paper, we verify this conjecture for the group GL_3. We give two affine pavings, one using the non-standard paving in [C1], the other following the general method in §3.4.

To carry out the second approach, we determine precisely the contracting cells $C_B(\mathrm{Ec}(x))$. In particular, we prove that they are all isomorphic to affine spaces. These results are then used to study the affine Springer fibers for the group GL_3. In particular, we find a family of cohomologically pure "*truncated*" affine Springer fibers. Let $\{E_i, F_i, H_i\}_{i=1}^2$ be the generator of $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_3)$ lifting $\{e_i, f_i, h_i\}_{i=1}^2$ of $\mathfrak{gl}_3$. Recall that there is a crystal structure on the set of all the MV-cycles (resp. polytopes), i.e. an action of E_i, F_i on them. Let $\mathbf{j}$ be a finite sequence of alternating $1, 2$ of length l. Let

$$E_{\mathbf{j}} := E_{j_1} E_{j_2} \cdots E_{j_l}.$$

For any regular element $\gamma \in \mathfrak{t}(\mathcal{O})$, we can suppose without loss of generality that

$$\mathrm{val}(\alpha_{12}(\gamma)) = n_1, \quad \mathrm{val}(\alpha_{23}(\gamma)) = \mathrm{val}(\alpha_{13}(\gamma)) = n_2,$$

and $n_1 \geq n_2$. Let $\mathbf{i} = (121)$ and $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, n_2)$, let $P^{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{n})$ be the Mirkovic-Vilonen polytope of Lusztig data $\mathbf{n}$ with respect to the reduced word $\mathbf{i}$ of the longest element w_0.

Theorem 1.1. *The truncated affine Springer fibers*

$$\mathscr{X}(E_{\mathbf{j}} \cdot P^{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{n})) \cap \mathscr{X}_\gamma$$

admits an affine paving, so it is cohomologically pure.

Notation. Let $\Phi = \Phi(G, T)$ be the root system of G with respect to T, let (a_{ij}) be its Cartan matrix. Let W be the Weyl group of G with respect to T. For any subgroup H of G which is stable under the conjugation of T, we write $\Phi(H, T)$ for the roots appearing in $\mathrm{Lie}(H)$. For $B \in \mathcal{P}(T)$, let $\Phi_B^+ = \Phi_B^+(G, T)$ be the set of positive roots of G with respect to B. For B_0, we simplify it to Φ^+. Let $\Delta = \{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_r\}$ be the set of simple roots with respect to B_0, let $\{\varpi_1, \cdots, \varpi_r\}$ be the corresponding fundamental weights. Let $\rho = \sum_{i=1}^r \varpi_i$ be the Weyl vector.

Let $s_1, \cdots, s_r$ be the simple reflections associated to the simple roots in Δ. Every element $w \in W$ can be written (non-uniquely) as a reduced expression $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_k}$, we say that w is of length $\ell(w) = k$ and that $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \cdots, i_k)$ is a reduced word for w. Let w_0 be the longest element in W, let m be its length, which is also the number of positive roots of G. For each simple root α_i, let $\psi_i : \mathrm{SL}_2 \to G$ be the i-th root subgroup of G. Let

$$e_i = \psi_i\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right), \quad f_i = \psi_i\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right), \quad h_i = \psi_i\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{bmatrix}\right).$$

Let $\{E_i, F_i, H_i\}_{i=1}^r$ be the generator of the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ lifting the $\{e_i, f_i, h_i\}_{i=1}^r$. For $w \in W$, let $\bar{w}$ be the lift of w to G, defined using the lift of $\bar{s}_i := \psi_i\Big(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\Big)$.

To each $\alpha_i \in \Delta$, we have a unique maximal parabolic subgroup P_i of G containing B_0 such that $\Phi(N_{P_i}, T) \cap \Delta = \alpha_i$, where N_{P_i} is the unipotent radical of P_i. This gives a bijective correspondence between the simple roots in Δ and the maximal parabolic subgroups of G containing B_0. Any maximal parabolic subgroup P of G is conjugate to certain P_i by an element $w \in W$, the element $w \cdot \varpi_i$ doesn't depend on the choice of w, we denote it by ϖ_P. We also say that ϖ_P is a chamber weight of level i.

We use the (G, M) notation of Arthur. Let $\mathcal{F}(T)$ be the set of parabolic subgroups of G containing T, let $\mathcal{L}(T)$ be the set of Levi subgroups of G containing T. For every $M \in \mathcal{L}(T)$, we denote by $\mathcal{P}(M)$ the set of parabolic subgroups of G whose Levi factor is M. For $P \in \mathcal{P}(M)$, we denote by P^- the opposite of P with respect to M. Let $X^*(M) = \mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathbb{G}_m)$ and $\mathfrak{a}_M^* = X^*(M) \otimes \mathbf{R}$. The restriction $X^*(M) \to X^*(T)$ induces an injection $\mathfrak{a}_M^* \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{a}_T^*$. Let $(\mathfrak{a}_T^M)^*$ be the subspace of $\mathfrak{a}_T^*$ generated by $\Phi(M, T)$. We have the decomposition in direct sums

$$\mathfrak{a}_T^* = (\mathfrak{a}_T^M)^* \oplus \mathfrak{a}_M^*.$$

The canonical pairing

$$X_*(T) \times X^*(T) \to \mathbf{Z}$$

can be extended linearly to $\mathfrak{a}_T \times \mathfrak{a}_T^* \to \mathbf{R}$, with $\mathfrak{a}_T = X_*(T) \otimes \mathbf{R}$. For $M \in \mathcal{L}(T)$, let $\mathfrak{a}_T^M \subset \mathfrak{a}_T$ be the subspace orthogonal to $\mathfrak{a}_M^*$, and $\mathfrak{a}_M \subset \mathfrak{a}_T$ be the subspace orthogonal to $(\mathfrak{a}_T^M)^*$, then we have the decomposition

$$\mathfrak{a}_T = \mathfrak{a}_M \oplus \mathfrak{a}_T^M,$$

let π_M, π^M be the projections to the two factors.

We identify $X_*(T)$ with $T(F)/T(\mathcal{O})$ by sending χ to ϵ^χ. With this identification, the canonical surjection $T(F) \to T(F)/T(\mathcal{O})$ can be viewed as

$$T(F) \to X_*(T). \tag{1}$$

We use Λ_G to denote the quotient of $X_*(T)$ by the coroot lattice of G (the subgroup of $X_*(T)$ generated by the coroots of T in G). We have a canonical homomorphism

$$G(F) \to \Lambda_G, \tag{2}$$

which is characterized by the following properties: it is trivial on the image of $G_{\mathrm{sc}}(F)$ in $G(F)$ (G_{sc} is the simply connected cover of the derived group of G), and its restriction to $T(F)$ coincides with the composition of (1) with the projection of $X_*(T)$ to Λ_G. Since the morphism (2) is trivial on $G(\mathcal{O})$, it descends to a map

$$\nu_G : \mathscr{X} \to \Lambda_G,$$

whose fibers are the connected components of $\mathscr{X}$.

An important remark: Our convention on the group action is different from that of Berenstein-Fomin-Zelevinsky [BFZ] and that of Kamnitzer [K1]. For them, the group acts from the right, while for us it acts from the left. It suffices to take the inverse to pass

between the two. Also, there is a difference on the chamber weights. For us, it points outward, while it points inward for them.

2. Mirkovic-Vilonen cycles and polytopes

2.1. **Lusztig parametrization of U_0.** Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\Phi} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ be the decomposition of $\mathfrak{g}$ into root spaces. For each root $\alpha \in \Phi$, let U_α be the unipotent subgroup of G whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. We have an isomorphism of group schemes $\mathbf{x}_i : \mathbb{G}_a \to U_{\alpha_i}$ sending $t \in \mathbb{G}_a$ to $1 + te_i = \exp(te_i) \in U_{\alpha_i}$, which gives a natural coordinate on U_{α_i}.

Let $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \cdots, i_m)$ be a reduced word of the longest element $w_0 \in W$. Taking products, we get a morphism of algebraic varieties:

$$U_{\alpha_{i_m}} \times \cdots \times U_{\alpha_{i_1}} \to U_0,$$

which is a birational isomorphism. In [BFZ], Berenstein, Fomin and Zelevinsky determine an open dense sub variety of U_0 over which the morphism is biregular, and give an explicit inverse morphism to it.

Firstly, they construct a birational transformation on U_0. For a generic matrix g, let $[g]_+$ be the last factor u in the Gaussian LTU-decomposition $g = vtu$, with $v \in U_0^-$, $t \in T$, $u \in U_0$. The matrix entries of $[g]_+$ are rational functions of g, and explicit formulas can be written out in terms of minors of g. The map $\eta_{w_0} : U_0 \to U_0$ sending y to $x = [\bar{w}_0 y^t]_+$ is a birational automorphism, where y^t means the transposition of y. The inverse birational automorphism is given by $y = \eta_{w_0}^{-1}(x) = \bar{w}_0^{-1}[x\bar{w}_0^{-1}]_+^t \bar{w}_0$.

Define morphisms $\mathbf{x}_\mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{y}_\mathbf{i}$ from $(\mathbf{A}^1\backslash\{0\})^m$ to U_0 to be

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_\mathbf{i}(t_1, \cdots, t_m) &= \mathbf{x}_{i_m}(t_m) \cdot \cdots \cdot \mathbf{x}_{i_1}(t_1), \\ \mathbf{y}_\mathbf{i}(t_1, \cdots, t_m) &= \eta_{w_0}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}_\mathbf{i}(t_1, \cdots, t_m)). \end{aligned}$$

The reduced word $\mathbf{i}$ of w_0 determines a sequence of Weyl group elements $w_j^\mathbf{i} = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_j}$ and distinct negative coroots $\beta_j^\mathbf{i} = -w_{j-1}^\mathbf{i} \cdot \alpha_{i_j}^\vee$, and the chamber weights $\varpi_j^\mathbf{i} = w_j^\mathbf{i} \cdot \varpi_{i_j}$, $j = 1, \cdots, m$. Let $\Gamma^\mathbf{i} = \{w_j^\mathbf{i} \cdot \varpi_l;\ j = 1, \cdots, m;\ l = 1, \cdots, r\}$, one can prove that $\Gamma^\mathbf{i}$ consists of the fundamental weights and the $\varpi_j^\mathbf{i}$, they are called $\mathbf{i}$-chamber weights.

Finally, for a chamber weight $\varpi = w \cdot \varpi_i$ of level i, for any matrix $g \in G$, let $\Delta_\varpi(g)$ be the minor of g of the first i rows and the column set $w \cdot \{1, \cdots, i\}$.

Proposition 2.1 (Berenstein-Fomin-Zelevinsky)**.** *The morphism $\mathbf{y}_\mathbf{i} : (\mathbf{A}^1\backslash\{0\})^m \to U_0$ is a biregular isomorphism onto $\{y \in U_0 \mid \Delta_\varpi(y^{-1}) \neq 0,\ \forall\, \varpi \in \Gamma^\mathbf{i}\}$.*

Further more, Berenstein, Fomin and Zelevinsky also determine the transition law $\mathbf{y}_\mathbf{i}\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}'}^{-1}$ for any two reduced words $\mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{i}'$ of w_0. Recall that two reduced words $\mathbf{i}$, $\mathbf{i}'$ are said to be related by a d-braid move involving i, j, starting at the position k, if

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{i} &= (\cdots, i_k, i, j, i, \cdots, i_{k+d+1}, \cdots), \\ \mathbf{i}' &= (\cdots, i_k, j, i, j, \cdots, i_{k+d+1}, \cdots). \end{aligned}$$

where d is the order of $s_i s_j$.

For the linear groups of type A, we know that any two reduced words of w_0 can be related by a sequence of 2 or 3-braid moves.

Proposition 2.2 (Berenstein-Fomin-Zelevinsky)**.** *Let $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}'$ be two reduced words related by a d-braid move as above, $d = 2, 3$, starting at the position k. Suppose that $\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}}(t_\bullet) = \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}'}(t'_\bullet)$. Then*

(1) *We have $t_j = t'_j$ for $j \notin \{k+1, \cdots, k+d\}$,*
(2) *If $a_{i,j} = 0$, then $d = 2$ and $t'_{k+1} = t_{k+2}$, $t'_{k+2} = t_{k+1}$.*
(3) *If $a_{i,j} = -1$, then $d = 3$ and*

$$t'_{k+1} = \frac{t_{k+2}t_{k+3}}{t_{k+1} + t_{k+3}}, \quad t'_{k+2} = t_{k+1} + t_{k+3}, \quad t'_{k+3} = \frac{t_{k+1}t_{k+2}}{t_{k+1} + t_{k+3}}.$$

2.2. **Mirkovic-Vilonen cycles.** For $M \in \mathcal{L}(T)$, the natural inclusion of $M(F)$ in $G(F)$ induces a closed immersion of $\mathscr{X}^M := M(F)/M(\mathcal{O})$ in $\mathscr{X}^G$. For $P = MN \in \mathcal{F}(T)$, we have the retraction

$$f_P : \mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{X}^M$$

which sends $gK = nmK$ to $mM(\mathcal{O})$, where $g = nmk$, $n \in N(F)$, $m \in M(F)$, $k \in K$ is the Iwasawa decomposition.

For $P \in \mathcal{F}(T)$, we have the function $H_P : \mathscr{X} \to \mathfrak{a}_M^G = \mathfrak{a}_M/\mathfrak{a}_G$ which is the composition

$$H_P : \mathscr{X} \xrightarrow{f_P} \mathscr{X}^M \xrightarrow{\nu_M} \Lambda_M \to \mathfrak{a}_M^G.$$

Proposition 2.3 (Arthur)**.** *Let $B', B'' \in \mathcal{P}(T)$ be two adjacent Borel subgroups, let $\alpha^\vee_{B',B''}$ be the coroot which is positive with respect to B' and negative with respect to B''. Then for any $x \in \mathscr{X}$, we have*

$$H_{B'}(x) - H_{B''}(x) = n(x, B', B'') \cdot \alpha^\vee_{B',B''},$$

with $n(x, B', B'') \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

For any point $x \in \mathscr{X}$, we denote by $\mathrm{Ec}(x)$ the convex envelope in $\mathfrak{a}_T^G$ of the $H_B(x)$, $B \in \mathcal{P}(T)$. We are interested in the polytope $\mathrm{Ec}(x)$ and the *truncated affine grassmannian* $\mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x))$, which is defined by

$$\mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x)) = \{y \in \mathscr{X} \mid \mathrm{Ec}(y) \subset \mathrm{Ec}(x),\ \nu_G(y) = \nu_G(x)\}.$$

It turns out that they are intimately related to the Mirkovic-Vilonen cycles. More generally,

Definition 2.1. A family $(\lambda_B)_{B \in \mathcal{P}(T)}$ of elements in $\mathfrak{a}_T^G$ is called (G,T)-*orthogonal* if it satisfies

$$\lambda_{B'} - \lambda_{B''} = n_{B',B''} \cdot \alpha^\vee_{B',B''}, \quad \text{for some } n_{B',B''} \in \mathbf{R},$$

for any two adjacent Borel subgroups B', $B'' \in \mathcal{P}(T)$. It is called *positive* (G,T)-orthogonal family if furthermore all the $n_{B',B''}$ are greater or equal to 0. In this case, we write $\mathrm{Ec}((\lambda_B)_{B \in \mathcal{P}(T)})$ for the convex envelope of the λ_B's.

Given a positive (G,T)-orthogonal family $(\lambda_B)_{B \in \mathcal{P}(T)}$, let $\mathrm{Ec}((\lambda_B)_{B \in \mathcal{P}(T)})$ be the convex envelope in $\mathfrak{a}_T^G$ of the λ_B, $B \in \mathcal{P}(T)$ and

$$\mathscr{X}((\lambda_B)_{B \in \mathcal{P}(T)}) = \{y \in \mathscr{X} \mid \mathrm{Ec}(y) \subset \mathrm{Ec}((\lambda_B)_{B \in \mathcal{P}(T)}),\ \nu_G(y) = \nu_G(\lambda_B)\}.$$

Example 2.1. A polytope P is called *Weyl polytope* if it is of the form $\mathrm{Ec}((w\cdot\lambda)_{w\in W})$ for some $\lambda\in X_*^+(T)$. In this case, the truncated affine grassmannian $\mathscr{X}(P)$ is nothing but the affine Schubert variety $\mathrm{Sch}(\lambda)$.

Definition 2.2. Given $\mu_1,\mu_2\in X_*(T)$, $\mu_2-\mu_1\in X_*^+(T)$, the closure of the irreducible components of

$$U_0(F)\epsilon^{\mu_2}K/K\cap U_0^-(F)\epsilon^{\mu_1}K/K$$

are called *Mirkovic-Vilonen cycles* of coweight (μ_1,μ_2).

It is known that they have the same dimension $\rho(\mu_2-\mu_1)$. Here and after, we will call them simply MV-cycles. Let x be a generic point on the MV-cycle, the convex polytope $\mathrm{Ec}(x)$ doesn't depend on the choice of x, and we will call it the *MV-polytope* associated to the MV-cycle. It is known that they determine each other. It is easy to see that MV-cycles (resp. MV-polytopes) of coweight (μ_1,μ_2) are isomorphic to that of coweight $(\lambda+\mu_1,\lambda+\mu_2)$, $\forall\lambda\in X_*(T)$. So we will not distinguish MV-cycles (polytopes) that are translation of each other by elements in $X_*(T)$. We will assume from now on that all the MV-cycles are of coweight $(-\mu,0)$ for some $\mu\in X_*^+(T)$ unless stated otherwise.

In the work [K1], Kamnitzer determined all the possible MV-polytopes, and gives a description of generic points on the MV-cycle, using the work of Berenstein, Fomin and Zelevinsky recalled in the previous section.

Let $(\lambda_B)_{B\in\mathcal{P}(T)}$ be a positive (G,T)-orthogonal family, we also write it as $(\lambda_w)_{w\in W}$, with $\lambda_w=\lambda_{w\cdot B_0}$. Let $\mathbf{i}$ be a reduced word for w_0, then $\lambda_{w_1^{\mathbf{i}}},\cdots,\lambda_{w_m^{\mathbf{i}}}$ determine a path along the edges of the polytope $P=\mathrm{Ec}((\lambda_B)_{B\in\mathcal{P}(T)})$. Let $n_1,\cdots,n_m$ be the lengths of the edges of this path. We call the m-tuple $(n_1,\cdots,n_m)$ the $\mathbf{i}$-Lusztig datum of P. It is clear that the set of all the $\mathbf{i}$-Lusztig datums, $\mathbf{i}$ running through all the reduced words of w_0, determines uniquely the polytope P.

Let $\mathbf{i}$ be a fixed reduced word of w_0, Kamnitzer [K1] proves that the $\mathbf{i}$-Lusztig datum determines uniquely the MV-polytope.

Proposition 2.4 (Kamnitzer)**.** *Let $\mathbf{i}'$, $\mathbf{i}''$ be two reduced words of w_0 related by a d-braid move, $d=2,3$, starting at the position k. Let P be a MV-polytope, let $n'_\bullet$, $n''_\bullet$ be the $\mathbf{i}'$, $\mathbf{i}''$-Lusztig datum of P. Then*

1. *We have $n'_j=n''_j$ for $j\notin\{k+1,\cdots,k+d\}$,*
2. *If $\mathbf{i}''$ is obtained from $\mathbf{i}'$ by a 2-move, then $n''_{k+1}=n'_{k+2}$, $n''_{k+2}=n'_{k+1}$.*
3. *If $\mathbf{i}''$ is obtained from $\mathbf{i}'$ by a 3-move, then*

$$n''_{k+1}=n'_{k+2}+n'_{k+3}-a,\quad n''_{k+2}=a,\quad n''_{k+3}=n'_{k+1}+n'_{k+2}-a,$$

where $a=\min\{n'_{k+1},n'_{k+3}\}$.

Given an $\mathbf{i}$-Lusztig datum $n_\bullet$, let $P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet)=\mathrm{Ec}((\lambda_w)_{w\in W})$ be the MV-polytope with $\mathbf{i}$-Lusztig datum $n_\bullet$, let $S^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet)$ be the associated MV-cycle. Let $\mu=\sum_{j=1}^m n_j\beta_j^{\mathbf{i}}$, then $S^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet)$ is of coweight $(\mu,0)$.

Let

$$A(n_\bullet)=\{(t_1,\cdots,t_m)\in F^m\mid \mathrm{val}(t_i)=n_i \text{ for all } i\}.$$

Proposition 2.5 (Kamnitzer). *Let $t_\bullet \in A(n_\bullet)$, then*

(1) *The point $[\mathbf{y_i}(t_\bullet)^{-1}] \in U_0(F)K/K \subset \mathscr{X}$ lies in $S^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet)$, and*
$$f_{w_j^{\mathbf{i}}\cdot B_0}([\mathbf{y_i}(t_\bullet)^{-1}]) = \lambda_{w_j^{\mathbf{i}}}, \quad j = 1, \cdots, m.$$
(2) *The morphism $A(n_\bullet) \to S^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet)$ sending $t_\bullet$ to $[\mathbf{y_i}(t_\bullet)^{-1}]$ has dense open image.*

In [K2], Kamnitzer determined the crystal structure on the MV-polytopes. Let $\mathbf{i}$ be any reduced word of w_0 such that $i_m = i$. For any $(n_\bullet) \in \mathbf{Z}^m_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_i \cdot P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet) &= P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_1, \cdots, n_{m-1}, n_m + 1); \\ E_i \cdot P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet) &= \begin{cases} P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_1, \cdots, n_{m-1}, n_m - 1), & \text{if } n_m \geq 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } n_m = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

One proves easily that the operation doesn't depend on the choice of $\mathbf{i}$. The action of MV-cycle is induced by the action on the MV-polytope.

3. Truncated affine grassmannians

3.1. **Defining equations.** For $w \in W$, let $U_w = wU_0w^{-1}$. It is the unipotent radical of the Borel subgroup $w \cdot B_0 \in \mathcal{P}(T)$. Given $\lambda \in X_*(T)$, we have the semi-infinite orbit
$$S^w_\lambda = U_w(F)\epsilon^\lambda K/K.$$

Lemma 3.1 (Mirkovic-Vilonen). *We have*

(1)
$$\overline{S^w_\lambda} = \bigsqcup_{\mu \prec_w \lambda} S^w_\mu,$$
where $\prec_w$ is the Bruhat-Tits order on $X_*(T)$ with respect to $w \cdot B_0$.
(2) *Inside $\overline{S^w_\lambda}$, the boundary of S^w_λ is given by a hyperplane section under an embedding of $\mathscr{X}$ in projective space.*

Given a positive (G,T)-orthogonal family $(\lambda_w)_{w\in W}$, we deduce from the above lemma that
$$\mathscr{X}((\lambda_w)_{w\in W}) = \bigcap_{w\in W} \overline{S^w_{\lambda_w}}.$$
To find the defining equations of the truncated affine grassmannian is thus the same as to find those of the semi-infinite orbits.

For each chamber weight $\varpi = w \cdot \varpi_i$, we will define a function $D_\varpi : \mathscr{X} \to \mathbf{Z}$ in the following way: Let V_{ϖ_i} be the irreducible representation of G of highest weight ϖ_i, let v_{ϖ_i} be the highest weight vector, let $v_\varpi = \overline{w} \cdot v_{\varpi_i}$. The group G_F acts on $V_{\varpi_i,F}$ by extension of scalars. Given $v \in V_{\varpi_i,F}$, let $\mathrm{val}(v)$ be the unique $l \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $v \in V_{\varpi_i} \otimes \mathfrak{p}^l$ while $v \notin V_{\varpi_i} \otimes \mathfrak{p}^{l+1}$. For $gK \in \mathscr{X}$, we define
$$D_\varpi(gK) = \mathrm{val}(g^{-1} \cdot v_\varpi).$$

The function D_ϖ has the property:

$$D_\varpi(ugK) = D_\varpi(gK), \quad \forall\, u \in U_w(F),$$

since $u \cdot v_\varpi = v_\varpi$. Combined with the Iwasawa decomposition, we get

Lemma 3.2 (Kamnitzer)**.** *For each $w \in W$, the function $D_{w\cdot\varpi_i}$ takes the constant value $-\langle \lambda_w, w\cdot\varpi_i\rangle$ on $S^w_{\lambda_w}$. In particular,*

$$S^w_{\lambda_w} = \{gK \in \mathscr{X} \mid D_{w\cdot\varpi_i}(gK) = -\langle \lambda_w, w\cdot\varpi_i\rangle,\ \forall\, i = 1,\cdots,r\}.$$

Kamnitzer also related the function D_ϖ with the minor Δ_ϖ. In general, one has

$$\mathrm{val}(\Delta_\varpi(g^{-1})) \geq D_\varpi(gK),$$

and for each $L \in \mathscr{X}$, there exists a representative $g \in G(F)$ such that $L = gK$ and one has equality in the above inequality. By lemma 3.1, we have

$$\overline{S^w_{\lambda_w}} = \{gK \in \mathscr{X} \mid \mathrm{val}(\Delta_{w\cdot\varpi_i}(g^{-1})) \geq -\langle \lambda_w, w\cdot\varpi_i\rangle,\ \forall\, i = 1,\cdots,r\}.$$

Corollary 3.3. *Given a positive (G,T)-orthogonal family $(\lambda_w)_{w\in W}$, the truncated affine grassmannian $\mathscr{X}((\lambda_w)_{w\in W})$ is defined by the equations*

$$\mathrm{val}(\Delta_{w\cdot\varpi_i}(g^{-1})) \geq -\langle \lambda_w, w\cdot\varpi_i\rangle, \quad \forall\, w \in W,\ \forall\, i = 1,\cdots,r. \tag{3}$$

We call

$$S((\lambda_w)_{w\in W}) := \bigcap_{w\in W} S^w_{\lambda_w}$$

the *Gelfand-Goresky-Macpherson-Serganova* (or GGMS) strata. It is defined by the equations

$$D_{w\cdot\varpi_i}(g) = -\langle \lambda_w, w\cdot\varpi_i\rangle, \quad \forall\, w \in W,\ \forall\, i = 1,\cdots,r.$$

Corollary 3.4. *Given a positive (G,T)-orthogonal family $(\lambda_w)_{w\in W}$. If the GGMS strata $S((\lambda_w)_{w\in W})$ is non-empty, then*

$$\mathscr{X}((\lambda_w)_{w\in W}) = \overline{S((\lambda_w)_{w\in W})}.$$

The affine grassmannian admits action of a torus. The torus T acts on $\mathscr{X} = G(F)/K$ by left multiplication. Let $\mathbb{G}_m$ be the rotation torus, it acts on $F = k((\epsilon))$ by

$$t * \epsilon^n = t^n\epsilon^n, \quad \forall\, t \in \mathbb{G}_m,\ n \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

This action induces an action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $\mathscr{X} = G(F)/G(\mathcal{O})$. Let $\widetilde{T} = T \times \mathbb{G}_m$, it acts on $\mathscr{X}$ with discrete fixed points and discrete 1-dimensional orbits. To describe them, recall that $\widetilde{T}$ acts on $\mathfrak{g}_F$ as well, with the eigenspace decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g}_F = \bigoplus_{m\in\mathbf{Z}} \epsilon^m\mathfrak{t} \oplus \bigoplus_{(\alpha,n)\in\Phi\times\mathbf{Z}} \epsilon^n\mathfrak{g}_\alpha + \epsilon^N\mathfrak{g}, \quad \forall\, N \gg 0.$$

Let $U_{\alpha,n}$ be the subgroup of G_F whose Lie algebra is $\epsilon^n\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$. The fixed points $\mathscr{X}^{\widetilde{T}}$ is the same as $\mathscr{X}^T$, the 1-dimensional $\widetilde{T}$-orbits attached to ϵ^ν, $\nu \in X_*(T)$, are

$$U_{\alpha,n}\epsilon^\nu K/K, \quad (\alpha, n) \in \Phi \times \mathbf{Z}. \tag{4}$$

We will say that the orbit (4) is *in the α direction.*

The torus $\widetilde{T}$ acts on the truncated affine grassmannian $\mathscr{X}((\lambda_w)_{w\in W})$, with finitely many fixed points and finitely many 1-dimensional $\widetilde{T}$-orbits. Let Γ be its 1-skeleton, i.e. it is the graph with vertices being the fixed points and with the edges being the 1-dimensional $\widetilde{T}$-orbits. Two vertices are linked by an edge if and only if they lie on the closure of the corresponding 1-dimensional $\widetilde{T}$-orbit. For each $w \in W$, let $\mathrm{wt}(\lambda_w)$ be the number of edges in Γ which is linked to λ_w. For each $\alpha \in \Phi^+_{w\cdot B_0}$, let $L_{w,\alpha}((\lambda_w)_{w\in W})$ be the number of edges in Γ which is linked to λ_w in the α direction. We have

$$\mathrm{wt}(\lambda_w) = \sum_{\alpha\in\Phi^+_{w\cdot B_0}} L_{w,\alpha}((\lambda_w)_{w\in W}).$$

Let $T_{\lambda_w}(\mathscr{X}((\lambda_w)_{w\in W}))$ be the tangent space of $\mathscr{X}((\lambda_w)_{w\in W})$ at λ_w, let $T_{\lambda_w}(\mathscr{X}((\lambda_w)_{w\in W}))_\alpha$ be its α-eigenspace with respect to the action of the torus T.

Writing out explicitly the equations in (3), we get

Corollary 3.5. *We have*

$$\dim(T_{\lambda_w}(\mathscr{X}((\lambda_w)_{w\in W}))) = \mathrm{wt}(\lambda_w),$$

and

$$\dim(T_{\lambda_w}(\mathscr{X}((\lambda_w)_{w\in W}))_\alpha) = L_{w,\alpha}((\lambda_w)_{w\in W}).$$

3.2. **Truncated affine grassmannians and MV-cycles.** From now on, we work with the group $G = \mathrm{GL}_3$. Given $x \in \mathscr{X}$, we are interested in the polytope $\mathrm{Ec}(x)$ and the truncated affine Grassmannian $\mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x))$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let* $\mathbf{i}$ *be a reduced word of* w_0*. Any element in* $U_0(F)K/K$ *can be written as* $[\mathbf{y_i}(t_\bullet)^{-1}]$ *for some* $(t_\bullet) \in F^3$*.*

Proof. For any $u \in U_0(F)$, we can always find $a \in U_0(\mathcal{O})$ such that the minors

$$\Delta_\varpi(ua) \neq 0, \quad \forall\, \varpi \in \Gamma^{\mathbf{i}}.$$

By proposition 2.1, we have $(t_\bullet) \in F_3$ such that $ua = \mathbf{y_i}(t_\bullet)^{-1}$, so

$$uK = uaK = \mathbf{y_i}(t_\bullet)^{-1}K.$$

□

Proposition 3.7. *Let* $x \in \mathscr{X}$*, let* $\lambda_{w'} = H_{w'\cdot B_0}(x)$, $\forall\, w' \in W$*. Take* $w \in W$ *such that*

$$\langle w\cdot\rho,\, \lambda_w - \lambda_{ww_0}\rangle = \min_{w'\in W}\{\langle w'\cdot\rho,\, \lambda_{w'} - \lambda_{w'w_0}\rangle\}.$$

Then $w^{-1}\cdot\mathrm{Ec}(x)$ *is a MV-polytope.*

Proof. Up to permutation by W and translation by $X_*(T)$, we can suppose that $w = 1$ and $\lambda_1 = 0$. In particular, we have $x \in U_0(F)K/K$.

For the group GL_3, there are only 2 reduced words for w_0: $\mathbf{i} = (121)$, $\mathbf{i}' = (212)$. Let $n_\bullet$ (resp. $n'_\bullet$) be the sequence of lengths of the edges along the path of $\mathrm{Ec}(x)$ passing through the $\lambda_{w^{\mathbf{i}}_j}$'s (resp. $\lambda_{w^{\mathbf{i}'}_j}$'s), $j = 1, 2, 3$. We need to prove that $n_\bullet, n'_\bullet$ satisfy the braid relation in proposition 2.4 (3).

By lemma 3.6, there exist $t_\bullet, t'_\bullet \in F^3$ such that

$$x = [\mathbf{y_i}(t_\bullet)^{-1}] = [\mathbf{y_{i'}}(t'_\bullet)^{-1}].$$

By proposition 2.5 (1), we have

$$n_i = \mathrm{val}(t_i) \text{ and } n'_i = \mathrm{val}(t'_i), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

So $\mathrm{Ec}(x) = P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet) \cap P^{\mathbf{i}'}(n'_\bullet)$.

By proposition 2.2, we have the relation

$$t'_1 = \frac{t_2 t_3}{t_1 + t_3}, \quad t'_2 = t_1 + t_3, \quad t'_3 = \frac{t_1 t_2}{t_1 + t_3}.$$

There are two cases to consider. If $\mathrm{val}(t_1 + t_3) = \min\{\mathrm{val}(t_1), \mathrm{val}(t_3)\}$, then $n_\bullet, n'_\bullet$ satisfy the 3-braid relation in proposition 2.4 (3), and $\mathrm{Ec}(x)$ is a MV-polytope.

If $\mathrm{val}(t_1 + t_3) > \min\{\mathrm{val}(t_1), \mathrm{val}(t_3)\}$, then $\mathrm{val}(t_1) = \mathrm{val}(t_3)$. This implies that $n_1 = n_3$ and $P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet)$ is a Weyl polytope. Let $P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet) = \mathrm{Ec}((\mu_w)_{w \in W})$. Since $\mathrm{Ec}(x) \subsetneq P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet)$ and they share the same $\mathbf{i}$-path along the edges, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle s_2 \cdot \rho, \lambda_{s_2} - \lambda_{s_2 w_0} \rangle &< \langle s_2 \cdot \rho, \mu_{s_2} - \mu_{s_2 w_0} \rangle \\ &= \langle \rho, \mu_1 - \mu_{w_0} \rangle \quad (\text{since } P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet) \text{ is a Weyl polytope}) \\ &= \langle \rho, \lambda_1 - \lambda_{w_0} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This is in contradiction with the hypothesis that $\langle \rho, \lambda_1 - \lambda_{w_0} \rangle$ is minimal. So this case is impossible, and $\mathrm{Ec}(x)$ is a MV polytope.

□

In view of this result, we will call permutations by W of the MV-polytopes (resp. cycles) the "*generalized*" MV-polytopes (resp. cycles). The corollary 3.4 implies that $\mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x))$ is irreducible, so we get

Corollary 3.8. *For any $x \in \mathscr{X}$, the truncated affine grassmannian $\mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x))$ is a generalized MV-cycle.*

3.3. **An affine paving.** In order to pave $\mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x))$, we need the non-standard affine paving of $\mathscr{X}$ found in [C1]: Let I be the standard Iwahori subgroup of $G(F)$, i.e. it is the inverse image of B_0 under the natural reduction $G(\mathcal{O}) \to G(k)$. For $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{Z}^3$, let $I_{\mathbf{a}} = \mathrm{Ad}(\epsilon^{\mathbf{a}})I$.

Proposition 3.9. *Let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{Z}^3$.*

(1) *For $\lambda \in X_*^+(T)$ such that $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$, we have the affine paving*

$$\mathrm{Sch}(\lambda) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda' \in \mathrm{Sch}(\lambda)^T} \mathrm{Sch}(\lambda) \cap I_{\mathbf{a}} \epsilon^{\lambda'} K/K.$$

The intersection $\mathrm{Sch}(\lambda) \cap I_{\mathbf{a}} \epsilon^{\lambda'} K/K = J_{\mathbf{a},\lambda,\lambda'} \epsilon^{\lambda'} K/K$, where $J_{\mathbf{a},\lambda,\lambda'}$ is the open and closed sub-k-variety of $I_{\mathbf{a}}$ of the matrices $(x_{i,j})$ such that $x_{i,i} \in \mathcal{O}$ and that

$$\mathrm{val}(x_{i,j}) \geq m_{i,j}, \quad \forall i \neq j,$$

where $m_{i,j} = \max(a_i - a_j + \frac{i-j}{3}, \lambda_3 - \lambda'_j)$. *Moreover, the inclusion*

$$\mathrm{Sch}(\lambda) \cap I_{\mathbf{a}}\epsilon^{\lambda'}K/K \subset \overline{(\mathrm{Sch}(\lambda) \cap I_{\mathbf{a}}\lambda''K/K)}$$

implies that $\lambda' \prec_{I_{\mathbf{a}}} \lambda''$, *where* $\prec_{I_{\mathbf{a}}}$ *means the Bruhat-Tits order with respect to* $I_{\mathbf{a}}$.

(2) *For* $\lambda \in X_*^+(T)$ *such that* $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3$, *we have the affine paving*

$$\mathrm{Sch}(\lambda) = \bigsqcup_{\lambda' \in \mathrm{Sch}(\lambda)^T} \mathrm{Sch}(\lambda) \cap I_{\mathbf{a}}\epsilon^{\lambda'}K/K.$$

The intersection $\mathrm{Sch}(\lambda) \cap I_{\mathbf{a}}\epsilon^{\lambda'}K/K = \hat{J}_{\mathbf{a},\lambda,\lambda'}\epsilon^{\lambda'}K/K$, *where* $\hat{J}_{\mathbf{a},\lambda,\lambda'}$ *is the open and closed sub-k-variety of* $I_{\mathbf{a}}$ *of the matrices* $(x_{i,j})$ *such that* $x_{i,i} \in \mathcal{O}$ *and that*

$$\mathrm{val}(x_{i,j}) \geq \hat{m}_{i,j}, \quad \forall i \neq j,$$

where $\hat{m}_{i,j} = \max(a_i - a_j + \frac{i-j}{3}, -\lambda_1 + \lambda'_i)$. *Moreover, the inclusion*

$$\mathrm{Sch}(\lambda) \cap I_{\mathbf{a}}\epsilon^{\lambda'}K/K \subset \overline{(\mathrm{Sch}(\lambda) \cap I_{\mathbf{a}}\lambda''K/K)}$$

implies that $\lambda' \prec_{I_{\mathbf{a}}} \lambda''$.

Remark 3.1. The proposition can also be used to calculate $\mathrm{Sch}(\lambda) \cap U_B(F)\epsilon^{\lambda'}K/K$ for $B \in \mathcal{P}(T)$, for this it is enough to take $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{Z}^3$ to be positive enough with respect to B.

Let $\iota : \mathscr{X} \to \mathscr{X}$ be the involution sending gK to $(g^t)^{-1}K$. We make the observation: Up to permutation by W and involution by ι, the MV-polytopes are of the form $P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet)$ with $\mathbf{i} = (121)$ and $n_1 \geq n_3 \geq n_2 \geq 0$. They are intersection of two triangles as shown in figure 1.

As a consequence of the Bruhat-Tits decomposition, we have

$$\mathscr{X}(P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet)) = \mathrm{Sch}(n_1 + n_2, -n_2, -n_2) \cap \epsilon^{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \mathrm{Sch}(n_3, n_3, -n_1 - n_2),$$

where $\mathbf{a} = (n_1 - n_3, n_1 - n_3, 0)$.

Proposition 3.10. *For any point* $x \in \mathscr{X}$, *the truncated affine grassmannian* $\mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x))$ *admits an affine paving, so its cohomological groups are pure in the sense of Deligne.*

Proof. By proposition 3.7, $\mathrm{Ec}(x)$ is a MV-polytope up to permutation. Using the involution ι if necessary, we only need to work out the case when $\mathrm{Ec}(x) = P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet)$ with $\mathbf{i} = (121)$ and $n_1 \geq n_3 \geq n_2$. Let $\mathbf{a} = (n_1 - n_3, n_1 - n_3, 0)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{X}(P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet)) &= \mathrm{Sch}(n_1 + n_2, -n_2, -n_2) \cap \epsilon^{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \mathrm{Sch}(n_3, n_3, -n_1 - n_2) \\ &= \bigsqcup_{\lambda' \in X_*(T) \cap P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet)} \mathrm{Sch}(n_1 + n_2, -n_2, -n_2) \cap I_{\mathbf{a}}\epsilon^{\lambda'}K/K. \end{aligned}$$

By proposition 3.9, the intersections

$$\mathrm{Sch}(n_1 + n_2, -n_2, -n_2) \cap I_{\mathbf{a}}\epsilon^{\lambda'}K/K$$

are isomorphic to affine spaces, this gives us an affine paving of $\mathscr{X}(P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet))$.

□



FIGURE 1. $P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet)$ as intersection of two triangles.

3.4. **A general method to construct affine pavings.** Let V be a projective algebraic variety over k admitting an action of torus T such that the fixed points V^T and the 1-dimensional T-orbits $V^{T,1}$ are finite. Suppose that V is cohomologically pure in the sense of Deligne. According to the localization theorem of Goresky, Kottwitz and Macpherson [GKM4], the T-equivariant cohomology of V (hence the ordinary cohomology) can be calculated in terms of the fixed points and the 1-dimensional T-orbits. It is natural to imagine that there is a general method to construct affine pavings from these datum.

Let Γ be the graph with vertices V^T and with edges associated to each 1-dimensional T-orbits. Two vertices are linked by an edge if and only if they lie on the closure of the corresponding 1-dimensional T-orbit. Let $\mathfrak{o}$ be a total order among the vertices of the graph Γ. We can associate to it an *acyclic* oriented graph $(\Gamma, \mathfrak{o})$ such that the source of each arrow is greater than its target with respect to $\mathfrak{o}$. For $v \in \Gamma$, denote by $n_v^{\mathfrak{o}}$ the number of arrows having source v.

Definition 3.1. The formal Betti number $b_{2i}^{\mathfrak{o}}$ associated to the order $\mathfrak{o}$ is defined as

$$b_{2i}^{\mathfrak{o}} = \sharp\{v \in \Gamma : n_v^{\mathfrak{o}} = i\}.$$

We call

$$P^{\mathfrak{o}}(t) = \sum_i b^{\mathfrak{o}}_{2i} t^{2i}$$

the formal Poincaré polynomial associated to the order $\mathfrak{o}$.

Definition 3.2. For $P_1(t), P_2(t) \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$, we say that $P_1(t) < P_2(t)$ if the leading coefficient of $P_2(t) - P_1(t)$ is positive.

Conjecture 3.1. Let $P_V(t)$ be the Poincaré polynomial of V, then

$$P_V(t) = \min_{\mathfrak{o}} \{P^{\mathfrak{o}}(t)\},$$

where $\mathfrak{o}$ runs through all the total orders among the vertices of Γ.

There exists an inductive way to decompose V into locally closed sub varieties according to the order $\mathfrak{o}$. To begin with, let v_0 be the vertex of maximal order. Take a co-character $\chi \in X_*(T)$ such that it is expanding on the tangent space $T_{v_0}(V)$, let

$$V^{\mathfrak{o}}(v_0) := \{x \in V \mid \lim_{t\to 0} \chi(t)x = v_0\}.$$

It can be proved that $V^{\mathfrak{o}}(v_0)$ is open in V. Repeating this process inductively on the closed T-invariant sub variety $V \backslash V^{\mathfrak{o}}(v_0)$, we get a decomposition of V into locally closed sub varieties $V = \bigsqcup_{v\in V^T} V^{\mathfrak{o}}(v)$.

Conjecture 3.2. Let $\mathfrak{o}$ be a total order such that $P^{\mathfrak{o}}(t) = P_V(t)$. Then the decomposition of V according to the order $\mathfrak{o}$ is a *generalized affine paving* of V. By the word "generalized affine paving", we mean a decomposition of V into locally closed sub varieties which have the same compact support cohomology as a standard affine space $\mathbf{A}^n$.

Remark 3.2. These conjectures can be used to verify the cohomological purity of a projective algebraic variety X which admits an action of torus such that the number of fixed points and that of 1-dimensional orbits are finite. For this, it is enough to verify whether the above paving scheme gives a generalized affine paving of X.

3.5. **More affine pavings.** We apply the above paving scheme to the truncated affine grassmannians, the result will be used to construct affine pavings for the affine Springer fibers in the next section.

First of all, we need to determine precisely the contracting cell

$$C_B(\mathrm{Ec}(x)) = \{y \in \mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x)) \mid f_B(y) = f_B(x)\}, \quad \forall\, B \in \mathcal{P}(T).$$

As usual, we only need to work with the truncated affine grassmannian $\mathscr{X}(P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet))$, with $\mathbf{i} = (121)$ and $n_1 \geq n_3 \geq n_2$. To simplify the notation, we enumerate the Weyl chambers clockwisely by $0, \cdots, 5$, with the chamber 0 corresponding to B_0. Let $B_i \in \mathcal{P}(T)$ be the Borel subgroup corresponding to the i-th chamber, let $\lambda_i = H_{B_i}(x)$, $i = 0, \cdots, 5$.

Theorem 3.11. *For all the $B \in \mathcal{P}(T)$, the contracting cell $C_B(\mathrm{Ec}(x)) = C_B(P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet))$ is isomorphic to the standard affine space of dimension $n_1 + 2n_2 + n_3$. More precisely, we have:*

(1)
$$C_{B_0}(P^{\mathbf{i}}(n)) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathcal{O} & \mathfrak{p}^{n_1-n_3} \\ & 1 & \mathcal{O} \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon^{n_1} & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & \epsilon^{-n_2} \end{bmatrix} K/K;$$

(2)
$$C_{B_1}(P^{\mathbf{i}}(n)) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathcal{O} & \mathfrak{p}^{n_1-n_3} \\ & 1 & \\ & \mathcal{O} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon^{n_1} & & \\ & \epsilon^{-n_2} & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} K/K;$$

(3)
$$C_{B_2}(P^{\mathbf{i}}(n)) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathcal{O} & \\ & 1 & \\ \mathfrak{p}^{n_3-n_1} & \mathcal{O} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon^{n_1-n_3} & & \\ & \epsilon^{-n_2} & \\ & & \epsilon^{n_3} \end{bmatrix} K/K;$$

(4)
$$C_{B_3}(P^{\mathbf{i}}(n)) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ \mathcal{O} & 1 & \\ \mathcal{O} & \mathfrak{p}^{n_3-n_1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon^{-n_2} & & \\ & \epsilon^{n_1-n_3} & \\ & & \epsilon^{n_3} \end{bmatrix} K/K;$$

(5)
$$C_{B_4}(P^{\mathbf{i}}(n)) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ \mathcal{O} & 1 & \mathfrak{p}^{n_1-n_3} \\ \mathcal{O} & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon^{-n_2} & & \\ & \epsilon^{n_1} & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} K/K;$$

(6)
$$C_{B_5}(P^{\mathbf{i}}(n)) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \mathcal{O} \\ \mathcal{O} & 1 & \mathfrak{p}^{n_1-n_3} \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon^{n_1} & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & \epsilon^{-n_2} \end{bmatrix} K/K.$$

Proof. The calculations are similar, we only give the detail for the case (1). Since

$$\mathscr{X}(P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet)) = \mathrm{Sch}(n_1+n_2, -n_2, -n_2) \cap \epsilon^{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \mathrm{Sch}(n_3, n_3, -n_1-n_2),$$

with $\mathbf{a} = (n_1 - n_3, n_1 - n_3, 0)$. To calculate

$$C_{B_0}(P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet)) = \mathscr{X}(P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet)) \cap U_0(F)\epsilon^{\lambda_0} K/K,$$

we only need to calculate

$$\mathrm{Sch}(n_1+n_2, -n_2, -n_2) \cap U_0(F)\epsilon^{\lambda_0} K/K,$$

and

$$\Big[\epsilon^{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \mathrm{Sch}(n_3, n_3, -n_1-n_2)\Big] \cap U_0(F)\epsilon^{\lambda_0} K/K$$
$$= \epsilon^{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \Big[\mathrm{Sch}(n_3, n_3, -n_1-n_2)] \cap U_0(F)\epsilon^{\lambda_0 - \mathbf{a}} K/K\Big],$$

and then take their intersection. By proposition 3.9, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Sch}(n_1+n_2,-n_2,-n_2)\cap U_0(F)\epsilon^{\lambda_0}K/K,\\ =&\begin{bmatrix}1 & \mathfrak{p}^{-n_2} & \mathcal{O}\\ & 1 & \mathcal{O}\\ & & 1\end{bmatrix}\epsilon^{\lambda_0}K/K.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\epsilon^{\mathbf{a}}\cdot\Big[\mathrm{Sch}(n_3,n_3,-n_1-n_2)]\cap U_0(F)\epsilon^{\lambda_0-\mathbf{a}}K/K\Big],\\ =&\begin{bmatrix}1 & \mathcal{O} & \mathfrak{p}^{n_1-n_3}\\ & 1 & \mathfrak{p}^{-n_3}\\ & & 1\end{bmatrix}^{-1}\epsilon^{\lambda_0}K/K.\end{aligned}$$

Now taking intersection, we get the assertion (1).

□

To pave $X=\mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x))$, we begin with the following simple observation: For any vertex $v\in\Gamma$, let $\mathrm{wt}(v)$ be the number of edges in Γ having end points at v, we call it the *weight* of the vertex v. Theorem 3.11 tell us that $\mathrm{wt}(H_B(x))$ is equal to $\dim(X)$ for all $B\in\mathcal{P}(T)$, and they are smaller than the weights of other vertices. Take $v_0=H_B(x)$, for any choice of $B\in\mathcal{P}(T)$, we know that $X(v_0)=C_B(\mathrm{Ec}(x))$ is isomorphic to an affine space.

Let $X_1:=X\backslash X(v_0)$ be the complement. We claim that X_1 are unions of several truncated affine grassmannians $X_1=\bigcup_j\mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x_j^{(1)}))$. To see this, it is enough to remark that, for any $y\in X_1$, $\mathrm{Ec}(y)$ is contained in one of the several biggest generalized MV-polytopes included in $\mathrm{Ec}(x)$ while not including v_0. The algebraic variety X_1 is projective and $\widetilde{T}$-invariant, let Γ_1 be its 1-skeleton. For any vertex $v\in\Gamma_1$, let $\mathrm{wt}_1(v)$ be the number of edges in Γ_1 having end points at v.

Take j_1 such that $\mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x_{j_1}^{(1)}))$ is of maximal dimension. Let $v_1=H_{B_1}(x_{j_1}^{(1)})$, for some $B_1\in\mathcal{P}(T)$, such that

$$\mathrm{wt}_1(v_1)=\min_{B\in\mathcal{P}(T)}\{\mathrm{wt}_1(H_B(x_{j_1}^{(1)}))\}.$$

It is easy to see that v_1 doesn't lie in the other $\mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x_j^{(1)}))$'s, so

$$X_1(v_1)=C_{B_1}(\mathrm{Ec}(x_{j_1}^{(1)}))$$

is isomorphic to an affine space.

Repeating the above process, we get a sequence of vertices $v_i\in\Gamma$ and X_i in the following way: Let $X_i=X_{i-1}\backslash X_{i-1}(v_{i-1})$. As above, we have $X_i=\bigcup_j\mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x_j^{(i)}))$. Let Γ_i be the 1-skeleton of X_i. For any vertex $v\in\Gamma_i$, let $\mathrm{wt}_i(v)$ be the number of edges in Γ_i having end points at v.

Take j_i such that $\mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x_{j_i}^{(i)}))$ is of maximal dimension. Let $v_i = H_{B_i}(x_{j_i}^{(i)})$, for some $B_i \in \mathcal{P}(T)$, such that

$$\mathrm{wt}_i(v_i) = \min_{B\in\mathcal{P}(T)}\{\mathrm{wt}_i(H_B(x_{j_i}^{(i)}))\}.$$

Again v_i doesn't lie in the other $\mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x_j^{(i)}))$'s, so

$$X_i(v_i) = C_{B_i}(\mathrm{Ec}(x_{j_i}^{(i)}))$$

is isomorphic to an affine space.

In conclusion, we put the total order among the vertices to be $v_0 \succ v_1 \succ v_2 \succ \cdots$, and we get the affine paving

$$\mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x)) = \bigsqcup_i X_i(v_i).$$

Given any positive (G,T)-orthogonal family $(\lambda_B)_{B\in\mathcal{P}(T)}$, similar process applies to the truncated affine grassmannian $\mathscr{X}((\lambda_B)_{B\in\mathcal{P}(T)})$.

Theorem 3.12. *Let $(\lambda_B)_{B\in\mathcal{P}(T)}$ be any positive (G,T)-orthogonal family, the truncated affine grassmannian $\mathscr{X}((\lambda_B)_{B\in\mathcal{P}(T)})$ admits an affine paving. Further more, its Poincaré polynomial $P(t)$ satisfies*

$$P(t) = \min_{\mathfrak{o}}\{P^{\mathfrak{o}}(t)\},$$

where $\mathfrak{o}$ runs through all the total order on the graph Γ.

The result on the Poincaré polynomial is a consequence of the paving process.

4. Applications to affine Springer fibers

Given a regular element $\gamma \in \mathfrak{t}(\mathcal{O})$, the affine Springer fiber at γ is defined to be

$$\mathscr{X}_\gamma = \{g \in G(F)/K \mid \mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})\}.$$

It is locally of finite type with dimension

$$\dim(\mathscr{X}_\gamma) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{val}(\det(\mathrm{ad}(\gamma) : \mathfrak{g}(F)/\mathfrak{t}(F))).$$

The group $T(F)$ acts on $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ with one of the orbits $\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\mathrm{reg}}$ being dense open in $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$, and the free abelian group Λ generated by $\chi(\epsilon)$, $\chi \in X_*(T)$ acts simply and transitively on the irreducible components of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$. A point $x = gK \in \mathscr{X}_\gamma$ lies in $\mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\mathrm{reg}}$ if and only if the image of $\mathrm{Ad}(g)^{-1}\gamma$ under the reduction map $\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O}) \to \mathfrak{g}$ is a regular element. According to [GKM3], they have the property:

$$H_B(x) - H_{B'}(x) = \mathrm{val}(\alpha_{B,B'}(\gamma))\cdot\alpha_{B,B'}^\vee, \quad \text{for any adjacent } B, B' \in \mathcal{P}(T), \tag{5}$$

where $\alpha_{B,B'}$ is the unique root which is positive with respect to B while negative with respect to B', and $\alpha_{B,B'}^\vee$ is the coroot associated to it.

Let $x_0 \in \mathscr{X}_\gamma^{\mathrm{reg}}$, let

$$F_\gamma = \{y \in \mathscr{X}_\gamma \mid \mathrm{Ec}(y) \subset \mathrm{Ec}(x_0),\ \nu_G(y) = \nu_G(x_0)\},$$

it is called *the fundamental domain* of $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ with respect to Λ. It is independent of the choice of x_0 up to translation by Λ. As mentioned in the introduction, the conjecture 1.1 of Goresky, Kottwitz and Macpherson is equivalent to the conjecture 1.2.

We believe that the general method in §3.4 will give affine pavings of F_γ, although the conditions there are not quite satisfied. The torus T acts on F_γ with finitely many fixed points F_γ^T, but the 1-dimensional T-orbits are not discrete. This can be overcome as follows: Let $F_\gamma^{T,1}$ be the union of 1-dimensional T-orbits in F_γ, then $\widetilde{T}$ acts on it with finitely many 1-dimensional orbits $F_\gamma^{\widetilde{T},1}$. Let Γ be the graph with vertices $F_\gamma^{\widetilde{T}} = F_\gamma^T$ and with edges associated to each 1-dimensional $\widetilde{T}$-orbits. Two vertices are linked by an edge if and only if they lie on the closure of the corresponding 1-dimensional $\widetilde{T}$-orbit. According to Goresky-Kottwitz-Macpherson [GKM4] and Chaudouard-Laumon [CL], the $\widetilde{T}$-equivariant cohomology

$$H^*_{\widetilde{T}}(F_\gamma) := H^*_T(F_\gamma) \otimes_{H^*_T(\mathrm{pt})} H^*_{\widetilde{T}}(\mathrm{pt})$$

of F_γ can be expressed in terms of the graph Γ as if the torus $\widetilde{T}$ acts on F_γ.

In the following, we work with the group $G = \mathrm{GL}_3$. We will construct a family of cohomologically pure "*truncated*" affine Springer fibers, i.e. intersections of the form $\mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x)) \cap \mathscr{X}_\gamma$ for some $x \in \mathscr{X}$.

4.1. **A criterion.** We will determine whether the intersection $\mathscr{X}_\gamma \cap C_B(\mathrm{Ec}(x))$, $x \in \mathscr{X}$, is isomorphic to an affine space.

For each $w \in W$, let $\lambda_w = f_{w\cdot B_0}(x)$. For $\alpha \in \Phi^+_{w\cdot B_0}$, let $l^w_\alpha = l^{w\cdot B_0}_\alpha$ be the number of 1-dimensional $\widetilde{T}$-orbits contained in $\mathscr{X}_\gamma \cap C_{w\cdot B_0}(\mathrm{Ec}(x))$. As in corollary 3.5, we have

$$\dim\big(T_{\lambda_w}(\mathscr{X}_\gamma \cap \mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x)))\big) = \sum_{\alpha\in\Phi_{w\cdot B_0}} l^w_\alpha,$$

and

$$\dim\big(T_{\lambda_w}(\mathscr{X}_\gamma \cap \mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x)))_\alpha\big) = l^w_\alpha,$$

where the subscript α refers to the α-eigenspace of the tangent space under the action of the torus T. As usual, we only need to work out the case when $\mathrm{Ec}(x) = P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet)$ with $\mathbf{i} = (121)$, $n_1 \geq n_3 \geq n_2$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let* $\mathbf{i} = (121)$, $n_1 \geq n_3 \geq n_2$, *let* $C_B = C_B(P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_\bullet))$. *Then*

(1) *For* $B = B_0$, $s_1 \cdot B_0$, *the intersection* $C_B \cap \mathscr{X}_\gamma$ *is isomorphic to an affine space if and only if*

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\Phi^+_B} l^B_\alpha \leq n_2 + n_3 + \mathrm{val}(\alpha_{12}(\gamma)).$$

(2) *For* $B = s_2s_1 \cdot B_0, w_0 \cdot B_0$, *the intersection* $C_B \cap \mathscr{X}_\gamma$ *is isomorphic to an affine space if and only if*

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\Phi^+_B} l^B_\alpha \leq n_2 + n_3 + \mathrm{val}(\alpha_{12}(\gamma)).$$

(3) *For $B = s_2 B_0$, the intersection $C_B \cap \mathscr{X}_\gamma$ is isomorphic to an affine space if and only if*

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_B^+} l_\alpha^B \leq n_1 + n_2 + \mathrm{val}(\alpha_{23}(\gamma)).$$

(4) *For $B = s_1 s_2 \cdot B_0$, the intersection $C_B \cap \mathscr{X}_\gamma$ is isomorphic to an affine space if and only if*

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_B^+} l_\alpha^B \leq n_1 + n_2 + \mathrm{val}(\alpha_{13}(\gamma)).$$

Proof. The calculations are similar, we only give details for the first case. By theorem 3.11, we have

$$C_{B_0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathcal{O} & \mathfrak{p}^{n_1-n_3} \\ & 1 & \mathcal{O} \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon^{n_1} & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & \epsilon^{-n_2} \end{bmatrix} K/K;$$

Let $u = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a & c \\ & 1 & b \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$, with $a \in \mathcal{O}, b \in \mathcal{O}, c \in \mathfrak{p}^{n_1-n_3}$. Then $u\epsilon^{\lambda_0} K \in \mathscr{X}_\gamma$ if and only if $\mathrm{Ad}(u^{-1})\gamma \in \mathrm{Ad}(\lambda_0)\mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{O})$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} a(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) \in \mathfrak{p}^{n_1}, \quad b(\gamma_2 - \gamma_3) \in \mathfrak{p}^{n_2}, \\ c(\gamma_3 - \gamma_1) + ab(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2) \in \mathfrak{p}^{n_1+n_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $c_{ij} = \mathrm{val}(\alpha_{ij}(\gamma))$. Solving the first two equations, we get

$$a \in \mathfrak{p}^{\max\{0, n_1 - c_{12}\}}, \quad b \in \mathfrak{p}^{\max\{0, n_2 - c_{23}\}}.$$

The third equation tells us that $C_{B_0} \cap \mathscr{X}_\gamma$ is isomorphic to an affine space if and only if

$$\max\{0, n_1 - c_{12}\} + \max\{0, n_2 - c_{23}\} + c_{12} \geq \min\{n_1 + n_2,\, n_1 - n_3 + c_{13}\}.$$

On the other hand, it is easy to calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} l_{\alpha_{12}}^{B_0} &= n_1 - \max\{0, n_1 - c_{12}\}, \\ l_{\alpha_{23}}^{B_0} &= n_2 - \max\{0, n_2 - c_{23}\}, \\ l_{\alpha_{13}}^{B_0} &= \min\{c_{13}, n_2 + n_3\}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting them in the above equation, we get the assertion (1).

□

If the intersection $C_{w \cdot B_0} \cap \mathscr{X}_\gamma$ is isomorphic to an affine space, its dimension will be equal to

$$\dim\left(T_{\lambda_w}(\mathscr{X}_\gamma \cap \mathscr{X}(\mathrm{Ec}(x)))\right) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_{w \cdot B_0}} l_\alpha^w.$$

4.2. **A family of pure truncated affine Springer fibers.** In this section, we give a family of cohomologically pure truncated affine Springer fibers. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that the root valuation of γ satisfies

$$\mathrm{val}(\alpha_{12}(\gamma)) = n_1, \quad \mathrm{val}(\alpha_{23}(\gamma)) = \mathrm{val}(\alpha_{13}(\gamma)) = n_2,$$

such that $n_1 \geq n_2$. Let $\mathbf{i} = (121)$, $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, n_2)$. According to the equation (5), we have

$$F_\gamma = \mathscr{X}(P^{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{n})) \cap \mathscr{X}_\gamma.$$

Recall that there is a crystal structure on the set of all the MV-polytopes, i.e. an action of E_i, F_i on them. Let $\mathbf{j}$ be a finite sequence of alternating $1, 2$ of length l. Let

$$E_{\mathbf{j}} := E_{j_1} E_{j_2} \cdots E_{j_l}.$$

Theorem 4.2. *The truncated affine Springer fibers*

$$[\mathscr{X}(E_{\mathbf{j}} \cdot P^{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{n}))] \cap \mathscr{X}_\gamma$$

admits an affine paving, so it is cohomologically pure.

Proof. We will give a proof for $\mathbf{j} = (1)212\cdots12$, the other case is similar. We will give an inductive affine paving by the length of $\mathbf{j}$.

To begin with, on proves easily that if the length of $\mathbf{j}$ is bigger than $2n_2$, then $[E_{\mathbf{j}} \cdot S^{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{n})]$ is empty and there is nothing to prove.

Let $\mathbf{j} = 12\cdots12$ of length $2n_2$, we claim that

$$[\mathscr{X}(E_{\mathbf{j}} \cdot P^{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{n}))] \cap \mathscr{X}_\gamma = \mathscr{X}(E_{\mathbf{j}} \cdot P^{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{n})), \tag{6}$$

from which the assertion follows by proposition 3.10. Indeed, we have $E_{\mathbf{j}} \cdot P^{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{n}) = P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_1 - n_2, n_2, 0)$, and the equation defining $\mathscr{X}_\gamma$ is automatically satisfied by points in $\mathscr{X}(P^{\mathbf{i}}(n_1 - n_2, n_2, 0))$.

Now we need to pave the difference between $[\mathscr{X}(F_1 E_{\mathbf{j}} \cdot P^{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{n}))] \cap \mathscr{X}_\gamma$ and $[\mathscr{X}(E_{\mathbf{j}} \cdot P^{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{n}))] \cap \mathscr{X}_\gamma$, or that between $[\mathscr{X}(F_2 E_{\mathbf{j}} \cdot P^{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{n}))] \cap \mathscr{X}_\gamma$ and $[\mathscr{X}(E_{\mathbf{j}} \cdot P^{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{n}))] \cap \mathscr{X}_\gamma$ according to $\mathbf{j}$. The paving goes exactly as the general paving scheme explained in §3.4. We will indicate the order of paving by figures, and omit the detail of verification of conditions in theorem 4.1.

In the first case, i.e. when $\mathbf{j} = 12\cdots12$, the order to pave the complement is shown in figure 2, where $2, 2', 3, 3', \cdots$ means that the order between these two sets of cells doesn't matter.

In the second case, i.e. when $\mathbf{j} = 212\cdots12$, the order to pave the complement is shown in figure 3:

□



FIGURE 2. Paving order when $\mathbf{j} = 12\cdots12$



FIGURE 3. Paving order when $\mathbf{j} = 212\cdots12$

REFERENCES

[A] J. Arthur, *The characters of discrete series as orbital integrals*, Invent. math. **32**(1976), 205-261.

[B] R. Bezrukavnikov, *The dimension of the fixed point set on affine flag manifolds*, Math. Res. Lett. 3 (1996), 185–189.

[BFZ] A. Berenstein, S. Fomin, A. Zelevinsky, *Parametrizations of canonical bases and totally positive matrices*. Adv. Math. 122 (1996), no. 1, 49–149.

[BZ] A. Berenstein, A. Zelevinsky, *Total positivity in Schubert varieties*. Comment. Math. Helv. 72 (1997), no. 1, 128–166.

[C1] Z. Chen, *Pureté des fibres de Springer affines pour* GL_4, `http://arxiv.org/pdf/1111.3352`, à paraitre dans Bull. SMF.

[C2] Z. Chen, *On the fundamental domain of affine Springer fibers*, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.4630`

[CL] P-H. Chaudouard, G. Laumon, *Sur l'homologie des fibres de Springer affines tronquées*, Duke Math. J. 145 (2008), no. 3, 443–535.

[G] V. Ginzburg, *Perverse sheaves on a Loop group and Langlands' duality*, http://arxiv.org/abs/alg-geom/9511007.

[GKM1] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, R. Macpherson, *Homology of affine Springer fibers in the unramified case*, Duke Math. J. 121 (2004), no. 3, 509-561.

[GKM2] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, R. Macpherson, *Purity of equivalued affine Springer fibers*, Represent. Theory 10 (2006), 130-146.

[GKM3] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, R. Macpherson, *Regular points in affine Springer fibers*, Michigan Math. J. 53 (2005), no. 1, 97-107.

[GKM4] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, R. Macpherson, *Equivariant cohomology, Koszul duality, and the localization theorem*, Invent. Math. 131 (1998), 25 – 83.

[GKM5] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, R. Macpherson, *Codimensions of root valuation strata*, Pure. Appl. Math. Q. 5 (2009), no. 4, 1253-1310.

[KL] D. Kazhdan, G. Lusztig, *Fixed point varieties on affine flag manifolds*, Israel. J. Math. **62**(1988), 129-168.

[K1] J. Kamnitzer, *Mirković-Vilonen cycles and polytopes*. Ann. of Math. (2) 171 (2010), no. 1, 245–294.

[K2] J. Kamnitzer, *The crystal structure on the set of Mirković-Vilonen polytopes*. Adv. Math. 215 (2007), no. 1, 66–93.

[L] G. Laumon, *Fibres de Springer et jacobiennes compactifiées*, Algebraic geometry and number theory, 515–563, Progr. Math., 253, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006.

[Lu] V. Lucarelli, *Affine pavings for affine Springer fibers for split elements in* PGL_3, http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0309132

[MV] I. Mirković, K. Vilonen, *Geometric Langlands duality and representations of algebraic groups over commutative rings*. Ann. of Math. (2) 166 (2007), no. 1, 95–143.

[Weil II] P. Deligne, *La conjecture de Weil, II*, Publ. Math. IHES, 52, 1980, 137-252.

EPFL SB Mathgeom/Geom, MA B1 447, Lausanne, CH-1015, Switzerland
E-mail address: zongbin.chen@epfl.ch